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Abstract  
This paper shed light about the American election from the side of one candidate its name Hillary Clinton she was candidate for American election in 2016, we choose this candidate because it’s a female and also this mind of this candidate will be different from her opponent. Also Clinton consider as the most effective woman in the American politics. In this paper we will talk about critical discourse analysis (CDA) and what is meant by critical discourse analysis and how its work and analysis the politician discourse. The model used in this paper is Van dijk's model of critical discourse analysis, discourse analysis is the main point of this paper and we will focus on how critical discourse analysis will be work with politics. The objective of this paper (1) what are the ideology are used in this discourse, (2) Clinton used her power in a perfect way, (3)is the female speech different from male speech. The result shows that any new admiration first thing do they are want to run the race for the presidency should be under estimate the previous leader so Clinton start expressing her negative rejection to the previous government for some points. The result show that She show herself as a great leader and the successful one. She talk about Iran and the fears for attacking Israel and also fears from Hezbollah for striking Israel. Clinton speech is not completely different from male speech because they used same ideology, lexical words and same exaggeration they used
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1. Introduction  
(CDA) is new field which are deals with analyzing the political speech and discover the hidden meaning of them and also analysis the text and its features through the different discourses. the context in which the text is produced. (CDA) is concerned on the dynamics of language usage in a given community. This dynamism is read through social forms of society such as inequality, dominance, social power abuse, marriage, insecurity, marginalization and political related actions. It equally looks at how these forms are
enacted, legitimized, reproduced, and resisted by text and talk in the social and political context (Van Dijk, 1993).

According to Fairclough and Wodak (1997), CDA does ideological work where it constitutes society, and culture with the nature of being interpretative and explanatory. Therefore in this case, the social language management which can be classified under micro and macro level; discourse verbal interaction belongs to micro level of social order while power, dominance and inequality between social groups belong to macro level of analysis. In relation to this matter and one of the reasons CDA has been chosen as the important aspect in this study due to its nature that is primarily interested and motivated by pressing issues. Its also can be considered as an attempt to better understand a text through discourse analysis. In short, CDA is a field of study that has diverse ways of creating or reproducing meaning from language use, that is using language consciously or unconsciously to express the original minds. These layers of meaning can be explored critically, in details and interestingly when it concerns on important aspects that control human’s life in the concept of power and ideology. News has become an important source of information for everyone in this world which revolved on the current state, situation and condition of every aspect which occur daily, already happened and will happen. People will look and read this source of information every day and it becomes daily habit for almost everyone. To make it more efficient in terms of the selection of news, headline is the first thing that they will be considered before you head for more feeds. In line with the development of internet and technology, everyone at some point is exposed to and preferred to read daily news headlines in the online news portals, especially now that the use of internet have rapidly grown. Almost all existed traditional newspapers all around the countries have owned an online news portals and platforms in order to meet the demands of technological growth and people. In the world of media, headlines areas important part of the news as titles are of any books or essays. They are often considered as the most important element on pages as they give readers an overall picture of the news and through them, readers may determine whether to read the entire article. It can be seen how the words used in the headline present the article’s content, attract the attention of the readers and create interest in the story, and, at the same time, show the hidden meanings behind the words and phrases used by writers. All the captions in the headlines are attractively designed news summary intended to induce or attract the attention of the readers into must wanting to read the articles in the newspapers. Newspaper headlines, are purposely shaped to speak the mind of the presenter or the publisher. The shape and the structure of the headline reflect the content, which is politically oriented and ideologically driven.

2. PREVIOUS STUDIES

Taifoor, A. (2010) the title of this study “a critical discourse analysis of Hillary Clinton, Angela Merkel and Julia Gillard’s speeches on immigration”. "Critical Discourse Analysis" is the (CDA) is the suitable methods of studying the "ideological discourses” for
finding the eclectic characteristic. "(CDA)" could be used to deal with the dialectical ideology also finds the link "discourse". The main basis of the "social group". "Ideologies" could be served the benefit of group members and also could protect them. So the most important and useful one in "social practice" can be gain is the "discourse". The present study aim is to gain the most essential goals" to clarify what can of "ideology' is in the speeches of Hillary Clinton, Angela Merkel, and Julia Gillard about the immigration; show up the "ideological strategies" who are present these speeches; expose the repetition of the "ideological strategies" in all the mown speeches; finding out why certain ideological strategy/strategies are used frequently more or less than the others, and illustrating the impact of ideologies on mental models, hence, discourse structures.

Al-Kaabi, S. (2018) the title of this study “A Contrastive Critical Discourse Analysis of the American Constitution and Imam Ali's (p.b.u.h.) Epistle to Malik Al-Ashter”. This study tries to examine and also apply "critical discourse analysis" by analysing two texts: one belongs to the American Constitution and another one belongs to Imam Ali's (p.b.u.h.) Epistle to Malik Al-Ashter. This study try to analysis and answering questions: 1) how the AC and IAE can be constructed linguistically? 2) Which of these texts can depend on? 3) the "ideologies" how can be express in AC and IAE by depending on linguistic and intertextual analyses. in this study the writer focus on the “ideologies” and the role that are built in the AC and IAE.

Al-Jiburi, N. (2017) the title of this study “A Contrastive Critical Discourse Analysis of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Risalat Al-Huquq of Imam Ali Al-Sajjad (p.b.u.h.)”. This study we deal with two types on the linguistic field and the another on a deal with the theory of "critical discourse analysis" by analysis two important topics that both of them deal with the Human rights first topic is that Universal Declaration of Human Rights, this one will be mention as (UDHR) and the second one will be Risalat Al-Huquq "Treatise on Rights" of Imam Ali Al-Sajjad, this one will be mention as (RH). This study tries to find the aim of exploring the "linguistics structure" of both UDHR and RH by examining the "interpretative procedures", and also explain the use of "ideologies". The most important thing that each stud or each exam can be mysterious but when we to make it clear we can be use the term “ideology” al studies find that the ideology can be create a limit to show that study or that thing is forbidden or restricted, here the ideology is a tool to rate a wall to make people following certain idea or believe.

3. THE OBJECTIVE
(1) What are the ideology are used in this discourse,
(2) Clinton used her power in a perfect way,
(3) Is the female speech different from male speech
4. THE MODEL

Van Dijk’s (2006b) model, *Politics, Ideology, and Discourse* is adopted in this study because it fits the data analyzed. The structures of the political discourse should be studied and analyzed through studying the relations that occur between the discourse and political ideologies such as the use of biased lexical items and syntactic structures like the use of passive and active constructions or the use of the pronouns *us* and *them* and many other strategies. As the discourses make ideologies more noticeable whether they are expressed and formulated explicitly or implicitly, so political ideologies are not only comprised in understanding and producing the political discourses and other political practices, but they are also reproduced by them (Van Dijk, 2006b:732).

The ideological analysis of political discourse involves the overall strategy of positive self-presentation and negative other-presentation introduced by Van Dijk which is very typical to be applied to the selected speeches used by the two candidates during their election campaigns to attract the people and convince them to win. In other words, each group always tries to present themselves in positive terms and the other group in negative terms (Van Dijk, 1995b:22). Van Dijk presents these two macro-strategies of what might be called “the ideological square”

5. DATA ANALYSIS

This speech was presenting in DC on March 21\(^{th}\) 2016. This speech consider the most lovely speech by her ,and she used her ideologies and strategies to manipulate people for electing her. This speech was introduced in front of different levels of people who are loved and encourage the relation between Israel and USA.She starts using some simple positive and direct words and active sentence "friends" for showing her side and her love to the people in front of her and calling them as her family and because of them she will win. She start using her first strategy using hyperboles "the biggest", "so many", " thousands", and "hundreds".She begin with a simple strategy to well the people she is happy to make an allies between USA and Israel because of the future of USA with Israel is bright and USA need this kind of relationship.She used the pronoun "you"to show that people who are in front of her with different levels are the same and all of them united in this land (USA) also the pronoun (I) to show how her power and strength. Clinton used some positive words to show that she is a friend of Israel by mentioning some examples to show that her relation with Israel like "friends" and "great". she used some words to show how she is a power and strong women ever like"As a Senator" and "Secretary of State." Also some adjective are used because is give an emphasize the positive self-representation like "strengthen", "deepen", "unwavering", "unshakable", "secure", and "democratic".She start show herself as the only one leader can take the lead of USA and protect the American's life "we" and "our" Clinton start showing the negative point about her opponent as foolhardy and oppressor to show how they are bad and negative by using some words "dictators", "cede", and "no longer has national interests.", she create a negative image on her opponent .She use a strategy to show herself as
power and more positive and effective by using words like "intense security", "diplomatic cooperation", "closer", "stronger", and "determined". Clinton shed lights on the Palestinians case and the dangerous of the terrorists and she asks Israel to face this danger and portray the Palestinians as a barbaric and monsters can't stop them only by force, so used one strategy called generalisation by showing the Palestinians case and the people in a negative way to convince the audience as really they are bad. She uses an example that illustrate the brutality and cruelty of terrorists so she used some words like "brutal", "stabbing", "shooting", "murdered", and "violence", these words are really negative so she compare these words with the Palestinians people who are really fight for their lives. Clinton focus on the Palestinians case and Israel and the historic entente with USA and Israel to face the danger presented by HAMAS (Palestinians movement to fight the Israel occupation) because it will effect on people and portrait Palestinians as danger. Again she mention some lexical words like "momentous" to show for the people that if the elect her she will face those (HAMAS) and fight them will Israel, its create a kind of negative image for all Palestinian people. Clinton all the time show herself in a positive way and portrait herself as a power leader and all this will happen if they are elect her and also shed light on the audience because they are the key for everything, also the USA will be safe and secure if they are in harmony with Israel. Alliance using some words like "peaceful", "stable", and "secure". She focus deeply on USA-Israel alliance because all the American people need this alliance if they elect her she will do this historic Alliance and promise the people to do this alliance, so we can find that her promises to people by saying that American need this alliance to secure her country. She focus on the promise that give it to the people about the alliance with Israel because this alliance will improve both two countries economically and this ideology will draw a positive image in the mind’s people. She portrait herself as the hope and the future of this alliance between USA and Israel. Clinton used a negative words like "embolden", "not engage" and "not defeat the adversaries" to show that her opponent is so weak and so silly to lead this great nation all these words draw a negative image for any kind of opponent either male or female. Clinton shift to the next point which is terrorism and the danger will do it for the Americans lives, so she mentions some examples for this universal truth about terrorism. This example is very necessary for her because it draw an image for her as the leader whom will destroy all terrorists in world and will be the Universal savior for the America. She uses a metaphor "when rockets rain down on residential neighbourhoods." She describe the them
the rockets of the terrorist will they will destroyed the American lives. Clinton use a rhetorical device to make her sentence more emphasis and more effective to show herself as positive-self representation and tell the people to reject her opponent Trump who is not good the be the next president of America. She open new topic and new threat represent by Iran and the nuclear program and showing Iran as the real threat for Israel. So she used some negative to tell the people and warn them words like "existential danger", "nuclear weapon", "an extremist regime", "annihilate Israel", and "enriched uranium." These words are completely negative to show how is Iran is great danger. This metaphor is more danger than Iran itself "thousands of centrifuges have stopped spinning." Mean that Iran is like a machine is responsible for separating the countries and will make them weak and poor but because of Clinton everything is will stop this conspiracy. Clinton exaggerates the number of centrifuges which responsible for making the nuclear weapon had been increased by Iran scientists this picture is so negative and so bad. She talk about Hezbollah fighters who are fight for their lives and their independence and what have made because of the power they are get it then she talks about her. She said that her opponent is only talking without any action without doing anything this is a bad image about Trump. She said that she is the only true leader will do everything for her people because her opponent will not do anything only talking this negative representation and draw for her image in positive self-representation. She start and promise all the people and all the American people will defend them form any external threat posed by Iran and the nuclear program, she portraits herself as she is the real president she express herself as a positive leader who is a power this is the most common ideology used by all the Politian. In her speech we can see she used a positive words to reflect for the audience that she is a power like "firmly", "quickly", "act", and "force.". She used another a metaphor "Israel should be wiped from the pages of history." She said that Iran tries to delete or erase Iran from history this metaphor is very danger because she want to say Iran is very dangerous and we as an American we should be ready to face any danger. She used an exaggerate by using a hyperbole like "the tens of thousands of American troops." She wanted to say that Iran would strike the American soldiers this mean that she portraits for the audience as Iran in a negative presentation.

6. Result

• The result shows that any new admiration first thing do they are want to run the race for the presidency should be under estimate the previous leader so Clinton start expressing her negative rejection to the previous government for some points.
• She show herself as a great leader and the successful one.
• She talk about Iran and the fears for attacking Israel and also fears from Hezbollah for striking Israel.
• Clinton speech is not completely different from male speech because they used same ideology, lexical words and same exaggeration they used
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